

Message

From: Biglow, Crague (MPCA) [crague.biglow@state.mn.us]
Sent: 1/30/2019 9:28:23 PM
To: Hamblin, Patrick [hamblin.patrick@epa.gov]; Jevnisek, Jennifer (MPCA) [jennifer.jevnisek@state.mn.us]; Scheer, Dave (MPCA) [dave.scheer@state.mn.us]; Higgins, Tom (MPCA) [tom.higgins@state.mn.us]; Gregory Small [gregory.small@state.mn.us]
CC: Prout, Susan [prout.susan@epa.gov]; Boone, Denise [boone.denise@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: St Louis Park status

Thanks Pat. We are happy for you all that the shutdown has ended for now. Hope it holds.

Crague C. Biglow, P.G.
 Supervisor Superfund Unit 2
 Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
 Site Remediation and Redevelopment Section
 651/757-2229
 651/297-9707(fax)
 crague.biglow@state.mn.us

NOTICE: This email (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521. This email may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please reply back to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Hamblin, Patrick <hamblin.patrick@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 2:26 PM
To: Biglow, Crague (MPCA) <crague.biglow@state.mn.us>; Jevnisek, Jennifer (MPCA) <jennifer.jevnisek@state.mn.us>; Scheer, Dave (MPCA) <dave.scheer@state.mn.us>; Higgins, Tom (MPCA) <tom.higgins@state.mn.us>; Small, Gregory (MPCA) <gregory.small@state.mn.us>
Cc: Prout, Susan <prout.susan@epa.gov>; Boone, Denise <boone.denise@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: St Louis Park status

Crague,
 I have communicated this info to HQ, and we'll work with our contractor and HQ on finalizing the HRS Doc Record and QA for a fall 19 proposal. Apologies for the delays getting back to you.
 Best,
 Pat

Patrick Hamblin, NPL Coordinator
 Site Assessment and Grants Section, Superfund
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 77 W. Jackson Blvd.
 Chicago, IL 60604
 (312) 886-6312

From: Biglow, Crague (MPCA) <crague.biglow@state.mn.us>
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2018 4:22 PM
To: Hamblin, Patrick <hamblin.patrick@epa.gov>; Jevnisek, Jennifer (MPCA) <jennifer.jevnisek@state.mn.us>; Scheer, Dave (MPCA) <dave.scheer@state.mn.us>; Higgins, Tom (MPCA) <tom.higgins@state.mn.us>; Gregory Small <gregory.small@state.mn.us>
Cc: Prout, Susan <prout.susan@epa.gov>; Boone, Denise <boone.denise@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: St Louis Park status

Hi Pat,

We just had an update from our Commissioners office regarding the discussion they had last week with the cities of St. Louis Park and Edina. The good news is that the cities will not oppose the NPL listing of the plume. The cities did have some requests that appear to be reasonable. These are:

1. The NPL site be given a name that does not include the name of the city. We spoke about this before, and you indicate flexibility here. I am sure we can come up with a name that is palatable to the cities.
2. St. Louis Park requested that if it does not impact human health, they would like to delay the listing from spring to fall. We would not be opposed to that. St. Louis Park would like a separation between finalizing the CD on the Reilly site and this new NPL listing. It looks like from your e-mail below that a fall listing is much more likely anyhow. St. Louis Park requested that we follow up with them on this issue, so if EPA is okay making that call, we can forward that information to them.
3. St. Louis Park would like to communicate to residence through their quarterly newsletter regarding the possible listing of the solvent plume on the NPL prior to EPA news release and request for comments. They would like to be proactive with their communications. The cities would also like to coordinate closely with EPA and PCA on communications as this moves forward and be involved in setting up a communications plan for this. Probably worth while setting up a conference call with the cities, PCA, and MPCA on this issue.

Let's plan to discuss this when you are back in the office after the first of the year.

We are all routing for you guys and hope you can get a continuing resolution.

Crague C. Biglow, P.G.
 Supervisor Superfund Unit 2
 Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
 Site Remediation and Redevelopment Section
 651/757-2229
 651/297-9707(fax)
crague.biglow@state.mn.us

NOTICE: This email (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521. This email may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please reply back to the sender that you have received this message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Hamblin, Patrick <hamblin.patrick@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2018 11:57 AM
To: Jevnisek, Jennifer (MPCA) <jennifer.jevnisek@state.mn.us>; Biglow, Crague (MPCA) <crague.biglow@state.mn.us>; Scheer, Dave (MPCA) <dave.scheer@state.mn.us>; Higgins, Tom (MPCA) <tom.higgins@state.mn.us>; Small, Gregory (MPCA) <gregory.small@state.mn.us>
Cc: Prout, Susan <prout.susan@epa.gov>; Boone, Denise <boone.denise@epa.gov>
Subject: St Louis Park status

Hi All,

This email is to provide an update on the status of St Louis Park before the holiday break: I've checked in with our contractor, EPA HQ and their QA reviewer and expect to receive initial QA comments on the draft HRS Doc Record the week after next. As we have discussed, that would provide a good point to get MPCA's input on HRS Doc Record revisions. Making the schedule for spring 2019 proposal likely won't be tenable. It is likely there will be insufficient time to address the initial QA comments, circulate the revised draft and complete full QA before the scheduled spring rule.

We can follow up on requesting MN's concurrence on the proposal in January and February, when MPCA has a new leadership team/appointees in place. This would be similar process to the request for concurrence on the Spring Park listing – a letter from our Regional Administrator to MPCA's Commissioner.

We have not yet provided you with comments on the revised ESI. The SAMs did not have significant comments on the revision, but we're going to talk over some of the additions, e.g. Section 2.17, new appendices, with HQ, Regional project team and ORC with respect to our last meeting. One item I noted was the presence of PII in the appendices, e.g. address info on well logs, which will need to be redacted. At this point I think it's also advisable to review the initial QA comments prior to finalizing the ESI, in case there are items we need to address consistently in both the Doc Record and ESI.

If you have any questions, I am available to discuss today and plan to be in the office Jan 2-3, depending on resolution of the budget.

Best wishes for a happy holiday, Merry Christmas and New Year,

Pat

Patrick Hamblin, NPL Coordinator
Site Assessment and Grants Section, Superfund
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604
(312) 886-6312